

# Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for the

# Improvement, Operation, and Maintenance of Navy Shipyards and Support Facilities

Consultation Meeting #9 May 6, 2021





- 1. Please type your name and affiliation in the chat box.
- 2. Unmute your phone at any time by hovering over the icons in the center of the page to reveal the mute/unmute button.
- 3. We will pause for Q&A throughout the presentation and before ending today.
- 4. You can also type questions and comments in the chat box.
- 5. We will post a recording of this consultation session on the SIOP-NHPA website.


# How to use MS Teams





- •From Left to Right:
- Video/No Video
- Mute/Unmute
- Share Screen
- Additional Options
- Raise Hand
- •Chat
- View Participants
- Hang Up

# If you click on , you will get the following options:







The Navy's info website <u>https://navalshipyards-nhpa.com/</u> provides consultation support information:

- 1. The Documents tab provides copies of correspondence and the draft NPA and LMP template.
- The Programmatic Agreement tab includes a summary of the program alternative, a list of parties invited to consult, and summaries and presentations for the consultation meetings.





Explain and discuss Navy's decision to conduct separate Section 106 reviews and consultations for the PHNSY Dry Dock and Waterfront Production Facility

Review primary feedback, discuss Navy responses and amendments to the NPA and LMP template

Discuss next steps for the NPA consultation





- After careful consideration, the Navy has decided that NHPA consultations for the proposed Pearl Harbor Dry Dock and Waterfront Production Facility must now proceed on a separate track from the NPA, in order to meet mission-critical timelines.
- Navy is currently evaluating the 2013 PA for the PHNSY Facilities Modernization Plan to assess its suitability for the DD/WPF.
- Navy will continue consulting to develop an NPA and LMP template that may be effective in streamlining and improving historic preservation outcomes at shipyards and ship maintenance facilities.



# PHNSY DD/WPF PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES

#### The Navy is developing a range of preliminary alternatives to be analyzed in an EIS.

#### The Navy is considering the following:

 (1) A covered or uncovered dry dock
(2) A waterfront production facility located either east or west of the Dry Dock replacement


(3) The potential for the waterfront production facility to service one or more dry docks.





# PHNSY DD/WPF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING

#### Construction and operation of an uncovered dry dock with a portal crane or gantry crane






# PHNSY DD/WPF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING

Construction and operation of the waterfront production facility (either east or west of the dry dock replacement) and potentially a cover over the dry dock

Conceptual design of Waterfront Production Facility located west of the Dry Dock replacement (Example with Portal Crane)

Conceptual design of Waterfront Production Facility located east of the Dry Dock replacement (Example with Gantry Crane)



Example of covered dry dock conceptual design (Waterfront Production Facility located West of the Dry Dock replacement)

Example of covered dry dock conceptual design (Waterfront Production Facility located East of the Dry Dock replacement)





#### Approximately 250 written comments were submitted:

- Ali'i Pauahi Hawaiian Civic Club
- Portsmouth Naval Shipyard Historical Foundation
- ME SHPO
- NCSHPO
- NPS
- VA SHPO

Navy distributed responses to all comments with the NPA/LMP Template, V5





- Time-frame for initiation of consultation on LMPs after NPA is executed Navy responded that initiation of LMP consultations will be driven by mission schedules.
- Consulting v. Concurring parties and their roles in implementation of LMPs Navy revised to consulting parties throughout.
- Periods of review for projects under LMPs Navy responded that streamlined periods of review are essential to mission support, and that the intent of the LMP process overall is to provide early information and review opportunities prior to mission-driven project schedules.
- Need for clarification regarding updated approach to ADPs in LMPs Navy revised and clarified that we will consult on long-range facility plans arising from ADPs, rather than ADPs themselves.



- Need for additional details on nationwide mitigation of adverse visual effects Navy added measures requiring local consultations to consider measure to avoid and minimize visual effects.
- Need for commitment regarding completing and updating surveys and eligibility determinations for applicable locations – Navy added the commitment.
- Review requirements for No Historic Properties Affected and No Adverse Effect determinations – Navy modified slightly and responded that a key intent of the LMP is to provide early information and review opportunities prior to mission-driven project schedules.
- Duration of the NPA Navy added annual review requirement and responded that twenty years is consistent with the mission need.